30

Previous references. 1894-95

~~190~~.

(Land Rev)

File No. 1 of 94-95

Serial Nos. 1 to 11

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Countermarked.

Serial Nos. 1 to 11

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Directing that no irrigation cess need be levied on the minor Sarvamanya Inam gardens in Byrekanahally, Arkalgude Taluk, owned by Mr Dalvai Devaraja Urs.

Table of Contents

Serial No 1 ~~Letter No~~ ~~75~~ 6 dy 2-4-95 from the D.C. Hassan. Suggesting that irrigation cess may be levied on the minor Sarvamanya Inam gardens in Byrekanahally Arkalgude Taluk, owned by Mr Dalvai Devarajaurs.

2 No 10 P.S. 95 dy 22-12-94 / A 1820 to the Supt Inam Settlements. Forwards the above letter for settlement.

3. 3 S dy 20 Jan 95 from the Supt Inam Settlements. Returns the Devamanya papers & encl with 81 no 2.

G. R. S. 58 1 3 cc dy 15.1.95 to the Supt, Inam Settlements / A 1350 Requests to be informed how the inam lands referred to in 81 were settled.

P.T.O

7

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

S

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Question of levying Irrigation Cess on 3 Inam gardens belonging to the Dalavai Devarajé Urs in the Govt villages of Boicharlalli and Maravalalu &c., Arkalgud Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 48 dated 28th November, 1[illegible]

from the Inam Superintendent

Boicharlalli

(1) Survey No. Extent Assessment

Pt 13, 14 } 3-28-18 — 2 — 0

Maravalalu

(2) S. no. 60 2—11-11 — 0 — 0

(3) " 62 1—35-9 — 8 — 0

The marginally described garden land Situated [illegible] the lands of the Govt villages of Boicharlalli and Maravalalu are enjoyed as Sarvamanya Inam by the Dalavai Devarajé Urs. About the year 1870, the Taluk authorities demanded payment of Irrigation Cess on the said Inam gardens from the year Jarana, ie the year following the

* 1884-85

† 1883-84

the late † Survey Settlement

on the ground that they
were Minor Inams Situated,
as they are, within the
Atchkat of Govt lands.
Mr Devanaje Was protected × P.no. 2 in the file.
against such demand saying
that the gardens, tanks
Situated in Govt villages,
formed part of the Hebbali
Sarvamanyam Jahagir,
that during the Survey Settle-
ment they ~~were granted~~
were, without his knowledge
and consent, included in the
Survey of the Govt villages, that
treated as Separate Inams
it was a manner to
~~they~~ call them Minor Inams, &
that the water of the Govt
tanks was not used for
them. In reply to a reference ⊗ P.no. 7
made to him by the Dy
Comm^r, the Superintendent of
Survey Stated, that as the
gardens were Situated in ⅌ P.no. 8
the Govt villages as the accounts
connected with them were
in those villages, they were

to have been made recently.

IX

(3) The Pahani Takhta of the Sanvamanyem Hebbale village for the year 1879-80. Shews that the Arca gardens of Baichanahalle & Maravalalu belonged to the Sanvamanyem village of Hebbale'

(5)

(4) The Jamabandi Goshwara of the Sanvamanyem village for the years 1858, 1862, 1863, 1864 & 1865-66. Shews that the gardens were then included in the accounts of the Sanvamanyem village

(5) In the orders issued to the Amildars of the Arkalgud Taluk in 1835 and 1860 by the then Ashtagram Division Supt^t., it is directed that the Baichanahalli gardens & Goravanahalli gardens (2) should be regarded and treated as forming part of the Sanvamanyem village.

Note. It is stated that the Goravanhalli gardens were known as Maravalalu gardens owing to their situation with

the limits of the latter village.

3. It was further reported † P. no 49 by the Amildar that the water of the Govt tanks, under which the gardens were situated, was not made use of for the gardens by means of any Sluices, but that the cultivation was carried on with the help of the natural moisture in the lands due to their situation, but that water appeared to have been sometimes used for growing Potatoes &c; and that certain other Inam gardens in the same Taluk Similarly Situated were subjected to the payment of irrigation Cess. The Amildar also Submitted a Sketch Shewing the position of the 3 gardens -

4. Under the above circumstances, and as the Inams had not been Settled by the Inam Deptt, the Dy Commr Solicited orders as to whether Irrigation cess

No 73 & 74-7-

Settled as Separate Inams
Situated in the respective
Govt villages; and that the
question of levying Irri-
-gation Cess was one for
Settlement by the Dy Comm^r
himself, having regard
to the fact whether Govt
water was or was not used

2. The Shanbogues of the
2 Govt villages, reported
to the Amildar that the
gardens were below the
banks of the respective villages,
that prior to the Survey
Settlement, the gardens
were shown in the accounts
as forming part of the
Samanangen village.
The Amildar, reported to
the Dy Comm^r that the
gardens were not men-
-tioned in the Sanad granted
in respect of the Samanan
-gen Hobbali villages and
that therefore they were left unnoticed
at the Settlement of Inams
but that they were treated
as Separate Inams at the

not ?

Survey Settlement and entered in the accounts of the Govt villages, and submitted the following references: —

(1) In the Vetta Vari accounts of the years Sanjangala (1857-58) and Rakkiacca (1855-56) & Doormati (1861-62), Maji Nos. 70 & 71, which are stated to correspond with Sur. nos 13 Part- and 14, of the Baiel anahalli village, are shown as gardens belonging to Hebbale Sanna- -nanjeah.

Note. In the accounts of Sanjangala & Rakkiacca, the extent of the Maji nos. 70 & 71 is given at 2 & 10 Kolagas respectively, but in the accounts of Doormati this is reversed —

(2) In the Pahani Jabitas of the years 1880-81 and 1881-82, Maji nos $\frac{47}{3}$ & $\frac{47}{4}$ measuring 10 Kolagas each, which are stated to correspond with Sur. nos. 60 & 62 of Manavalalu village, are now entered as Inam gardens of Mr Salami Devaraje Urs.

Note. The entry in the Pahani Jabita of 1880-81 appears

the gardens in question are "Kushki Bagayat" lands -

The gardens are benefited by the tanks in govt: village though not directly this fact may have been taken into consideration by the Govt. in fixing the garden assessment.

Rule XVII of the Guarantee as revised by Govt Pro: No. 3786-95 of 1st Sept: 1887 provides that the grantee is liable to pay "Equivalent of the Irrigation Cess" The Govt. may be requested to intimate the amount merged in the cost. on account of the irrigation Cess - under the rule -

Submitted to Secretary for orders

17/1/98

Secd Board 19/1/98

19-1-98

RDT Mc 19/1/98

Does the well receive supplemental irrigation cess, & if so on what basis? If it does not pay how can these lands which are from part of the village be separated & specified separately for the purpose of levying the irrigation cess on them. Mr. accident of their having

been a dispute
about them ought
not to be allowed
to enter into a con-
-deration of this
question and these
lands alone treated
as separately assessed
for the purpose of
the irrigation cess.
On the other hand
the Municipality
pays irrigation
cess & these lands
go to enhance the
rent value on which
such cess is levied
the amount of
the cess will then
have to be allowed
in one proportion
with addition.
Communicate these
views to the S. Supt
& invite his opinion

Chief
20/1/98

Draft Submd
1/98

Should be buried or not

Sanad etc.

Sri Govt Progs no. 10745 & R1620 x
22nd Dec 1894, the Supdt of Inam Settlements was requested to settle the garden Inams under the Rules, as Separate Inams or as part of the Sawamanyam Hebbalé village, according to the evidence that may be produced, & report the result for orders on the other question of irrigation cess.

5. In pursuance of the above order, the Inam Supdt States that the gardens have been Settled as part of the Saiwamanyam Inam village of Hebbalé

* no. 48 & 23-11-97.

and forwards the Quit-

± no. 45 & 16-11-97

-rent register, wherein it is Said that the gardens are personal Inams not liable to change of exem. whether they are Separate Inams, or form part of the Hebbalé village, has not been Stated in the Register

Su no 1.

RECEIVED 1096 26 JUL 94 R303

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

From The Dy Commissioner Kaspan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24th July 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file V.P. including a sketch

General No. 73

No. S.

Under the circumstances represented herein Solicits sanctions whether irrigation cess may be levied on 3 Minor Sarvamanya Inam gardens in Bychanahally & Inamvalalu villages, Arkalgud Taluk, owned by Mr. Dalvai Devaraj Arasu.

Submitted for orders.

(12)

Submitted
e question as to the
y or otherwise of the
rigation Cess on these
unds hinges upon the
ettlement to be made
y the Inam Dept. If
e lands be treated as
forming part of the
Hebbale Sarvamanya
Inam, and if no
Govt. water is taken,
no Irrigation Cess
can properly be levied.
But if the lands be
settled as Minor Inams
in the Govt. Village
to which they are now
attached, they would
become liable to the
payment of the Cess.

1st Augt 94

Asst to the
Dy Commissioner

Dy Commissioner
Kaspa Dist

Submitted to Chief Secretary
HL 13/8/94

Council Orders -
Secretary to Revenue Commissioner
Offg 19 Sept

RHA 26/7/94

2

№ 73
5

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan district

Dated Hassan 24th July 1894.

913

From

D°. L. Purnaiya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan district

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir

In forwarding herewith, the Vernacu
Correspondence ending with Arkulgude Amildar
№ 974/997 of the 23rd May 1894, I have the honor to state,
Mr. Dalvai Devraj Ars owns 3 minor Sarvm
Inam gardens as detailed in the margin in
Government Villages of Bychanahalli and Ha
-lalu, in the Arkulgude Taluk for which ~~is~~ arrig
cess from Parana (1884-85) to the current year is du

2. Mr. Dalvai Devraj Ars declines to pay
arrears on the ground that in accordance with the
mentary evidence adduced by him the gardens
question are attached to his Hobbalé Servamani
Villages, that during the Survey Settlement they ha

	Survey №	Selection	Assessment
1 Bychanahalli	14	3-28	10-8-0
2 Haravalalu	60	2-11	11-0-0
	623	1-35	9-8-0

6. The point for orders is whether the Inam gardens, which are settled as part of the Samanayan Inam village, are liable to the payment of Irrigation Cess, considering the fact that they are not directly benefited by the Govt tanks, within whose ayakat they are situated, and that other Inam gardens similarly situated have been subjected to such payment. It is also a point for consideration whether Govt order No. 11251-9 & R. 3738 23rd June 1927 directing the remission of Irrigation Cess on Kuchiki Pagayat lands (assessed Inam) irrigated entirely by such private wells as are not under tanks and subsidiary to tank irrigation, may be held to apply to the present case -

Submitted for orders.

DD 7/11/28. It is doubtful whether

(Note continued) - 12

Rw: 7246/R2341 d 2-2-95

Rw: 64 d. 2-2-95

Rw: 7867/R2562 d 22-2-95

A reference made to the Revenue Officer regarding rights in terms of the orders quoted a reply that the information was only available in the Revenue Department - and that the lands in question formed Should be regarded as part and parcel of the Arcot village of Vadakkalai. The Dy: Comm: who was newly worked, states that no irrigation has carried on the village of Vadakkalai and that it is in consequence undesirable to levy any on the gardens which have are incorporated therein

Submitted for orders. If approved, the Dy Commissioner letter may be recorded with reference to the previous correspondence entry in file

6/5/98

6/5/98

The information now furnished shows below that no irrigation cess is leviable on the lands in question - Kindly Board's

RO 7.20 Pm. 10.5.98

10/5/98

Rec'd 25/5/98

Order communicated 16.5.98

(16)

Solved.- I attach a Sketch showing the situation of these minor pieces of Hatagondanahalli Inam Village and of the Government Tank in question. The detached situation of the Inams maybe a point for consideration in deciding on the nature of the Inams. Presuming them to be minor Inams the question submitted is whether by reason of the Inamdar not taking or using Government water from the tank whose Atchkat it forms - he is to be exempted from paying the Irrigation cess.

7. It should be added that the Shanbogue has deposed that sometimes water is taken from Inaravatalu circar Tank for lands lying under it -

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

for. Deputy Commissioner

Hassan district

been attached without his consent and knowledge to the said two Government Villages, that the cess is a newly imposed one not paid by him at any time and that the Government tank water is not at all used for irrigating the said gardens.

3. The Amildar states that though the Government tank water is not used yet the gardens which are situated below Government tanks within the limits of their natural sekkals receive moisture and consequently M/s Dalvai Devraj Avs should pay the cess as other minor Inamdars.-

4. The Survey Superintendent states that in accordance with the accounts rendered to him these gardens were attached to Government Villages during the Survey Settlement and the Inam Superintendent states that as the numbers of these gardens are not to be found in the registers they are considered to be not settled and consequently he is unable to say whether they are attached to Inam Village or that of Government-

5 The garden lands are situated for away from Sarvamaniyam Village of Habbale and they are in the immediate Vicinity of Government Villages, they have been treated by the Revenue Department as minor Inams and irrigation cess has been imposed

4
imposed on them like other Inamdar Inam Lands in Government Villages. ——————— But the Devaraj Avs states that he never uses the Government water and Consequently the irrigation cess should not be levied. As the gardens in question are well Commanded by Government tank water and they derive the benefit of the moisture of the tanks, I am doubtful whether irrigation cess may be levied on such Lands and therefore solicit the clear instructions of Government in the matter

6. The peculiarity of the case consists in this namely (1) It Comprises three fields ranging in area from 1 to 3 acres as shown on the margin of para I. These are enjoyed till now as Inam Inamdars and they are not supported by Inamdars other than what Sr Balari Devraj Avs possesses relating to the Village of Hebbalè. The Inam Superintendent has not settled these Inams because they were omitted to be brought to his notice at the time of settlement by the Taluk authorities evidently under the impression that the Settlement of the Village was also applicable to this. Now however it seems necessary that in the first place the Inam Department should be directed to settle these cases. This settlement would decide the class of the Inam as Major or Minor and the question of Irrigation cess could be accordingly solved.

Sec No 3. 3151 R 1941

1897

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 2nd
Despatched 3rd } November
Received
No. 38 of 1897—98

Enclosure No. one file

The Government Proceedings at No. 10,745 / R. 1620 dated 22nd December 1894.

I Has the honor to return the vernacular file received with the above, as it is no longer required in this Office

Supt of Inam Settlts

Read in Records at 5 p.m. 5/11

Read 4/11/97

Respectfully.
9/11
6/11

(19)

Submitted to Secretary.
The Supdt of Inams does not state how the Inams were settled.
May he be requested to furnish this information?

VL 6/11/97

Yes to enable the Govt to settle the question of water rate.
9/11-97

VL 10/11/97

Dr. 66 8.11.97
VL 10/11/97

Draft No 2

Read.

Letter from the

Deputy Lieut. of Essex

dated 4 July 1894.

Requesting instructions

as to whether Lieutenancy

can now be said as to

Major Lieutenancy of

Essex, certain in Roydon

Hall and Hazeleigh

Lodge, Great Baddow

owned by Col. Salwey

Searey Bers.

Order thereon

The letter read

above, with enclosures,

will be forwarded to the

Lieut Superintendent

with a request that he

will be so good as to

settle the garden Lieutenancy

question referred to under

No 10745 A 1620 dd 22-12-94 / 12-1-95

1 file off W.P. to sketch

the Revenue settlement as 7
separate Inams, or as
part of the Permanently
Inam Village of Gadhada
according to the evidence
that may be produced.

2. The question of
water rate will be
settled after the Inam
tenure of the lands is
established.

22/12/44
Underlecy.

22/12/44

To The Collector of Inam
Settlement in Surat

11

3524
R 2140

1897

So 1105

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 16th
Despatched 17 } November
Received

No. 45 of 1897-98

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 10745 / R. B 20 dated 22nd December 1894 also Correspondence ending with the Hassan District Deputy Commissioner's yadi No. 749/97, dated 23rd September 1897, has the honor to forward a copy of Supplemental Final quit rent Register of marginally noted 2 Villages of Arakalgood Taluk, Hassan District.—

Supt of Inam Settlt

In R.

Taluk
1 Arakalgood Narasur Byelambally — 740
2. Do — Do — Narasalla — 741

Withdrawn
22/11
Nov 1897

Despatched
22/11
Note This should be given to Mr Powell
also above written

Draft copies ordered

Ser No 4 C.

To

The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Ellapore.

Dated 15-11-97

No 4322 R/350.

In am Supdts docket No 38 of 22d November 1897 returning the vernacular file connected with the question of levying Irrigation Cess on 3 mucr Sarvamanya Inam lands belonging to the Devaradhurs in the Govt villages of Byeramanalli and Maramalalu in the Arkalgud Taluk.

Referring to the above, I am to request that the Inam Supdt will be so good to state how the aforesaid Inams came

Draft as per order 13

SORTED

To. Ser No 75

(124)

The Supdt of Inams Settlements in Mysore

Dated 2-2-98

No. 7246 / R.2341

Inam Supdt's docket No 48 & 23d November 1897 stating that the garden Inams belonging to Mr Dalavai Devaraj Urs in the Govt villages of Baichanahalli and Maravalalu in the Arkalgude Taluk, have been settled as part of the Sarvamanyam village of Hebbale in the same Taluk —

Referring to the above, has the honor to request the favor of the Inam Supdt to report to this opinion on the following observations:

1) Does the whole village of Hebbalé pay irrigation cess, and if so, on what basi

4

it does not pay, how
n these garden lands which are
ow settled as forming
art of the village. be
separated and assessed
separately for the purpose
of levying the irrigation
ess thereon? The accident
of there having been a
dispute about the gardens
ught not to be allowed
enter into a consideration
this question and these
lands alone treated as
separately assessed for
the purpose of the irrigation
cess. (3) If, on the other hand,
the village itself pays
irrigation cess, and these
lands go to enhance the
value on which such cess
is levied, the amount of
the cess will have to be
altered in proportion to
this addition.

"By Order"

27-1-94

Secretary

been settled, ~~so that~~
this information is required to
~~Govt may be~~ enable
the Govt
to decide the question
of irrigation Canals
by the Commissioner.

"By Order"

(Sgd) 12/11/97

A/g Secretary

12/11/97 12/11/97

Draft for off. 16

To. Sec No 9 S

The Dy Commr
~~Mayoor~~ Dist.
Hassan.

Dated. 22/12/98.

No. 7837. In 1 file & V.P.

R. 2562.

The Dy Commr's letter No 73 Dated 24th July 1894, regarding the levy of irrigation cess from Mr Dalvai Dewanji Urs on his Inam gardens Situated in the ~~Gorabi~~ lagga of Bychanahalli and Maravalalu in the Arkalgude Taluk.

In returning (the enclosures) to the above, has the honor to request that as the Inam gardens have since been Settled by the Supt of Inam Settlements as forming part of the Hebbalé Sarvamanyam village

village in the Sirsalgride Taluk, information be furnished on the following points to enable the Govt ~~to be enabled to~~ decide the question of the levy of irrigation cess on the gardens:—

(98)

(1) Does the whole village of Hebbalé pay irrigation cess, and if so, what is the amount and on what basis is it calculated?

(2) If it does not pay any irrigation cess, how can the gardens which are now settled as part of the village, be assessed separately for the purpose of levying the irrigation cess thereon?

(3) If, on the other hand, the village itself pays irrigation cess and these ~~lands~~ gardens go to enhance the value on which such cess is levied, should not the amount of the cess on the village be altered in proportion to this addition?

"By Order"

18/2/98

Secretary.

17/2/98. 17/2/98

Gl. No. 3774
R 2295
NOV. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE
1897

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue
Department

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24 } November
Received

No. 48 of 1897—98

Enclosure No. Nil

In reply to his docket R/No. 4322. R 1350 dated 15th instant, has the honor to state that the garden deeds alluded to in the docket, belonging to Mr Dalvi Narayan Rao in the Government village of Bychanahally and Attur village in the Arakalgud Taluk, have been called for by this Department, for part of the Lawanungam and Settlement of Archaka, and the necessary Rent, Register for the same have already been sent, by the Secretary to this Office Docket No. 40 dated 16th instant.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Received 27-11-97

Rev 25/11/97

Read Record 27-11-97

Referred to Secretary 27/11/97

Revd 27/11/97

5755
R 3471/15

1895

From Supt 8.

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Department

Dated 9th
Despatched 9th } February 95.
Received

No. 64. of 1897—98.

Enclosure No. Nil

In reply to his docket No. 7,246/R 2,341 dated 2nd Instant, I have the honour to state that the under-signed is not aware what irrigation cess has been paid by the inhabitants of Yelesé. The information will have to be obtained from the Revenue Authorities, but at all events the garden should be regarded, as already stated, as part and parcel of the Inam village of Yelesé.

Chief Inam Settlement Officer

Rek
Rev 11/2

Ref to Supt
SS Supt to Rev
Rev
16/2/98

Draft Sub'd
SS
17/2/98

(131)

of Hebbale and so it would be undesirable to levy irrigation cess from Mr Dalavayi Devaraja urs on his gardens in question, which are since incorporated in the said Inam village, simply because the garden lands are reported to receive moisture from the Government Tanks of Bychanahalli and Maravalale which do not, however, strictly irrigate the same. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Gen. Estbl No. 30

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 94
MAY 2 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE
No. 4671

From Secretary
The Dewan
Hassan Dorlich

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government Dewan of Mysore,
Agricultural Department
Bangalore.

Dated 28
Despatched } April 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 P. file.

General No. 1069
No.

In reply to No. 383 } 22nd February 98.
R. 2562

SUBJECT.

Submitting certain particulars called for in regard to the Cowry Irrigation case from Dewanji Devanajiah on his Inam gardens situated in certain Government villages of the Hirisavi Taluk.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rec 2.5.98

Letter sent to Commr & Dewan's office 6/5/98

Referred to Rs 575

No. 1069

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.

Dated, Hassan, 28th April 1898.

From,

B. G. Krishnamurthi Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev. Depts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your
Docket No 2837/2.2562 of the 22nd February 1898
regarding the case of irrigation
cafe from Sri Devaraja Kere, on his Inam garden, situated
in the Government village of
Byrakanahalli and Maranahalli,
in the Arkalgud Taluk, I have
the honor to state that as per
Amildar's report, no irrigation
cafe is found on the village

K

that the question of levying irrigation cess was one for settlement by the Dy Commr himself, having regard to the fact whether Govt water was or was not used for the lands. It was reported by the Amildar that the gardens were not irrigated by the water of the Govt tanks by means of any Sluice, but that cultivation was carried on with the help of the natural moisture in the lands. ~~It was pointed out by the Shanbogue of the Govt village~~ that prior to the Survey Settlement, these gardens were shewn in the accounts as forming part of the Hebbalé Sannamanyem village. As the gardens had not been settled by the Inam Department, the Dy Commr solicited instructions as to whether irrigation cess should be levied or not. The Govt

(138)

Progs No 10745 & 22d Decr 1894
A 1620

the Supdt of Inam Settlements was requested to settle the garden Inams, under the rules, as Separate Inams or as part of the Sawamangeum Hebbale village according to the evidence that might be produced, & report the result for orders.

2. Colonel Grant ~~Appleby, the~~ States that the garden Inams have been Settled as part of the Sawa-mangeum Inam village of Hebbale. From the Dy Commrs report it ~~is understood~~ varied appears that no irrigation Cess is levied on the Sawamangeum Hebbale village ~~Under these circumstances, Govt are pleased to direct~~ that that no irrigation Cess should not be levied on the garden Inams in question which have been Settled as part of the Sawamangeum village.

7/6/98

Secretary

6/6/98

To the Dy Commr Hassan
Collect, returning the recd papers. with the Quit Rent Register for from the Supdt of Inam Settlement

Draft as per order dtd 9/11

Sar No 11

Read:—

Correspondence cuving with letter No 1069 dated 28th April 1898 from the Dyscommr, Havaan District, on the subject of the proposed levy of irrigation cess from cltrs Dalvai Devaraj Urs on his inam garden situated in the Govt villages of Baichandalli and Maravalalu in the Taluk of Talukde Taluk.

Order thereon:—

The marginally described garden land situated below the tanks of the Govt villages above referred to are enjoyed as Sarvamanya Inam by Mr Dalvai Devaraj Urs. About the year 1890, the Taluk authorities demanded payment of irrigation cess thereon from the year Faraua (1934-35) the year following the date of the Survey Settlement

Fit Hdq 1-2 dt 9/6/98

R. 3892.

Encl 4 Order Book Extract

Baichandalli.

Survey No	Extent. a g	Assessment. Rs as P.
Part 13	3-28	13-8-0
14		

Maravalalu

Survey No 60	2-11	1-0-0
Survey No 62	1-35	9-8-0

on the ground that they were
Minor Inams. Shr Devaraj
Urs protested against the
demand on the ground that
the gardens, though Situated
in Govt villages, formed
part of his Hillale Sama-
-mangem Jahagir, that
during the Survey Settle-
-ment they were, without
his Knowledge and consent,
treated as Separate Inams
& included in the Rent of
the Govt villages & that the
water of the Govt tanks was
not used for them. In reply
to a reference made to him
by the Dy Commr, the Supdt
of Revenue Survey Stated
that as the gardens were
Situated in the Govt villages
& as the accounts connected
with them were in those villages,
they were ~~Settled~~ treated
as Separate Inams Situated
in the respective Govt villages, &